



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,986	01/16/2002	Hitomi Kitamura	401530	9157
23548	7590	04/13/2004	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/030,986

Applicant(s)

KITAMURA ET AL. 

Examiner

Pedro J. Cuevas

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-4, filed February 24, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,485,075 to Mori et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,264,856 to Friedrich et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,485,075 to Mori et al.

Friedrich et al. clearly teaches the construction of system for maintaining excitation of an alternating current generator during excessive output current conditions comprising:

a voltage detecting means (47) for detecting a voltage of an output terminal of a synchronous machine;

a voltage setting means (41, 43, 45) for setting a reference voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine according to:

a reference voltage of an output side of the transformer,

a phase compensation transfer function to quicken attenuation of an

electric power fluctuation, and

by the voltage setting means based on the voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine detected by the voltage detecting means; and

a control means for controlling an exciting system (35) of the synchronous machine according to a difference between the reference voltage set by the voltage setting means and the voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine detected by the voltage detecting means.

However, it fails to disclose:

a reactive current detecting means for detecting a reactive current output from the synchronous machine, the reactive current detected by the reactive current detecting means; and

a synchronous machine being connected to a power transmission system through a transformer.

Mori et al. teach the construction of a reactive power compensating apparatus and method for reducing in switching loss in steady state operation thereof comprising:

a generator (3);

a step-up transformer (2);

a reactive current detecting means (14) for detecting a reactive current output from the synchronous machine; and

an aerial electric power transmission line (1);

for the purpose of receiving/supplying power from/to the power system (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the reactive power compensating apparatus disclosed by Mori et al. with the system disclosed by Frierdich et al. for the purpose of receiving/supplying power from/to the power system.

4. With regards to claims 3 and 4, Frierdich et al. in view of Mori et al. disclose an excitation control method, comprising:

detecting a voltage of an output terminal of a synchronous machine, which is connected to a power transmission system through a transformer, and includes setting the reference voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine based on the voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine;

detecting a reactive current output from the synchronous machine;

setting a reference voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine according to the reactive current, a reference voltage of an output side of the transformer, and setting a phase compensation transfer function to quicken attenuation of an electric power fluctuation; and

controlling an exciting system of the synchronous machine according to a difference between the reference voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine and the voltage of the output terminal of the synchronous machine.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.


Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro J. Cuevas
April 5, 2004



Nicholas Ponomarenko
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800